

TEST REPORT

Report Ref.	LEI19091034A Original		
Date Received	06/09/2019	Date Issued	10/09/2019

Company Name & Address	Bute Fabrics Ltd Barone Road Isle of Bute, PA20 0DP GBR
Contact Name	MA Speirs

Order Number	3242 / 3
Sample Description	Woven Fabric
Ref / Style Number	CF1134
Colour	Light Lime
Quality	Denim
Supplier	Bute Fabrics
End Use	Upholstery
Quoted Fibre Composition	64% Wool 36% Cotton
Retailer	General

Test	Method	Sample	Result
Martindale Abrasion Resistance - 12 kPa	BS EN 14465: 2003 Annex A		See Results

Tests marked (^) in this report have been performed by an approved 3rd party laboratory.
Tests marked (*) in this report are not included in our UKAS scope of accreditation.



Michelle Towers
(Technician)

Martindale Abrasion Resistance - 12 kPa BS EN 14465: 2003 Annex A
Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Results	Requirements		
Shade Change @ 3000 revs	4 - 5			
	Abrasion resistance*	Performance level		
Specimen 1	50,000 Revs	A = 35,000		
Specimen 2	50,000 Revs	B = 12,000 - 30,000		
Specimen 3	50,000 Revs	C = 4,000 - 10,000		
Overall result**	50,000 Revs			
Overall performance level	A			
Test information				
Test load: 12 kPa				
Fabric Type	Flat woven			
Breakdown criteria	Three thread breakdown			
Inspection interval	Every 5000			
Foam used	No			
*The abrasion resistance result is the last inspection point at which no breakdown was observed,				
**The overall result is the lowest individual test result of all the test specimens tested.				
BS 2543: 2004 Classification (Minimum levels for customer reference)				
	Flat woven	Figured weave	Woven/Flocked/Non-Woven Pile Fabrics	Knitted
Light Domestic	15,000	12,000	15,000	15,000
General Domestic	20,000	15,000	20,000	20,000
Heavy Domestic	25,000	20,000	25,000	25,000
General Contract	30,000	30,000	25,000	25,000
Severe Contract	40,000	40,000	30,000	30,000

Overall Test Result: See Results
Uncertainty: ±17%

This report is made solely on the basis of your instructions and/or information and materials supplied by you. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the Review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or willful misconduct.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of $k = 2$, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Any Pass/Fail statements do not take into account the Measurement of Uncertainty. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are close to Specification Limits / Requirements.